

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,553	07/17/2003	Erhard Anton	551.1006	1323
23280	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			BECK, DAVID THOMAS	
	TH AVENUE, 14TH FLOO ., NY 10018	OR	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	PAPER NUMBER
NEW IORK	., 141 10010		1732	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· ·				
Office Antique Commence	10/621,553	ANTON, ERHARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David T. Beck	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 June 2005						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-15 is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are_objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.					
 Applicant may not request that any objection t 	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	_	• •	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No					
Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage					
application from the International B							
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)	· " 🗖	(0.70, 47.7)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/12/04</u> .		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States:
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jurgenhake (DE 4005399 C1).

With regard to claim 1, Jurgenhake teaches a method for assuring a quality of a crimp joint on a crimping device, the method comprising: continuously measuring an actual value of a crimp parameter of the crimp joint based on a respective setpoint value of the crimp parameter within a defined upper and lower tolerance value; and effecting a readjustment of a crimp height after the actual value reaches a correction value of the crimp parameter (English abstract).

With regard to claim 2, Jurgenhake teaches that the crimp parameter includes at least one of a crimp height and a crimp force (English abstract).

With regard to claim 3, Jurgenhake teaches that the correction value is a mean value of the measured actual values (English abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/621,553

Art Unit: 1732

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jurgenhake (DE 4005399 C1) in view of Hahn et al (4,800,050).

With regard to claim 4, Jurgenhake teaches the invention of claim 1, but does not explicitly teach that the correction value corresponds to approximately half of the upper or lower tolerance value. Hahn et al teach that the correction value corresponds to approximately half of the upper or lower tolerance value (column 13, lines 54-61). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a correction value that corresponds to approximately half of the upper or lower tolerance value in the process taught by Jurgenhake. The motivation to do so would have been to find the optimum operation parameters (Hahn et al, column 4, lines 24-26).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Beck whose telephone number is 571-272-2942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 517-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,553

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DTB July 28, 2005